



STAN OBODIAC

THIS You Rejected...

(The 1953 radio campaign speeches
of Social Credit candidate
Stan Obodiac)

SL197
56
03
1953



National Library of Canada and
National Archives of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada et
Archives nationales du Canada

WELL FOLKS, that's right, I am the Social Credit candidate for the Federal Constituency of Yorkton...When I was nominated Friday at the Convention, the day of that big rainstorm in Yorkton, a friend of mine said: "The day you were nominated all hell broke loose." Maybe so more ways than one...

People came to me and said: why oppose a party that has been there for such a long time? If it has been there for so long it must be good—That's erroneous thinking. Look how many years the people thought the world was flat until Columbus showed them it was round, then they had to accept *his* way of thinking—Then they say: you're fighting some big minds...Well I can prove anybody wrong, anybody. For instance Plato or Confucious or somebody of equal mental stature said: that when, in need, go to a friend...I'll show you those mental giants are wrong. Since starting this campaign I have been *in need*, and I have gone to friends. My friends are the very first ones that have rejected me, so I have proven Plato and Confucious wrong—but I have a bit of advice for them and their adherents. It is::: when in *abundance*, go to a friend. Don't become satisfied and smug with what you have; shop around and look for something better. That is the progressive way.

Some more friends (I'm beginning to lose faith in that word) came to me and said they would vote for me, but the Liberals were going to be in power at Ottawa and that is why they are the only ones that can do anything for us out here...That particular man is voting against his personal convictions and he has no personal dignity. To vote just because you are going to get something is one of the dastardly qualities of man. I wouldn't vote against my personal convictions even if I were assured that I was going to become the sole proprietor of Yorkton's new Federal building...No I wouldn't.

But new, vibrant and terrific support is falling my way, and the Social Credit way. There are about 25,000 voters in the Yorkton constituency, voters here in Yorkton, in Wynyard, Foam Lake, Kamsack, Springside, Canora, Punnichy—places from the Manitoba border to Kandahar—and some great support has come from them. The people have seen that really, and all along they knew, that human dignity, personal freedom and integrity and security, are the things that really mattered. They have faith that I stand for these things, and so Social Credit stands for these things.

A big Conservative name in the city told me that they are going to swing their votes and support behind me.

Ladies and gentlemen, most people are Liberals because they are only sheepish followers...they wouldn't dream about going against anything. Most of them are what their fathers are...My father was a Liberal and a janitor—I don't wish to be a Liberal, and I don't wish to be a janitor; I wish to be something better... And ladies and gentlemen, I have had a great deal of experience with Socialism, in Canada, in England, in other parts of Europe, and I can tell you it is only the party of avarice. The Socialist does not wish anyone to have anything better than he. In England I heard Aneurhan Bevan say with great vituperation: we will not sweat our guts out to fill capitalists' bellies.

Social Credit stands for freedom and prosperity...and we aren't individually going to be prosperous if we are overwhelmed with taxes. George Drew has made what the people thought an extravagant claim, by saying that a Conservative government would slash taxes to the extent of \$500,000,000. Mr. Solon Low's Social Credit prediction makes that sound like chicken feed—he says a Social Credit government will wipe out Canada's national debt of \$17 billion!

Why do I stand? Why shouldn't I? In time of war haven't I fought for the people of Yorkton and constituency? In time of peace haven't I played for

the people of Yorkton constituency?...and haven't I written about the Yorkton name and cause, and even in the interests of Canada, in many newspapers of the world?

Join the Social Credit movement with its free enterprise claims that have amazed the energetic province of British Columbia and won there a clear majority. Alberta was first...British Columbia was second...Saskatchewan is next, and in time Social Credit will sweep eastwards and win the ten provinces.

People of the north, support Fred Patrick of Kelvington in the Mackenzie constituency. In Yorkton "Back Obodiac".

* * * * *

GOOD EVENING VOTERS—In this big world of two billion people what do you as an individual think important? Of course you think yourself important—that is not ego, it is a good healthy interest in your own security. A quick analysis shows that *you the individual* are important. Now, where do the other political parties put their emphasis—they put it on the masses. They talk about the people, and the Socialist breaks this down to the "common people". You see, none of us is given his justifiable claim on his own individualism. The Social Credit party, however, *glorifies* the individual. It thinks a lot of every *individual* person. It wants to give integrity to every individual. It wants to give a break to every poor or rich man in the constituency of Yorkton. It gives freedom to any religious whim of the individual; it makes equal every individual with boundless tolerance—every individual man is free and is not bound to the state in slavery as is the want about the world more and more. Whatever you are in the constituency of Yorkton, a farmer in the Touchwood hills area, a merchant in Kamsack, a millionaire in Wynyard, a beggar in Yorkton, a hockey player in Foam Lake, a priest in Canora—you are all alike in the eyes of Social Credit. Look at this stature that has been given you, the individual! Once again you can walk upright like a man should walk, and not trodding on all fours like the predatory types.

I've spoken about man here, but you women are man's individual equal, even though the great thinkers say you are not his social equal. Woman, recognize that you are man's individual equal and the Social Credit party wants to give you also the respect of the individual. You women don't know the power that you could wield at election time...In that great war novel of Norman Mailer's, "The Naked and the Dead", he said that man's greatest ideal is power, but he must have discountenanced the fact that it is actually you women that have that power. In the Yorkton constituency 55% of the voters are women. Just you women could elect the candidate you desire in the Yorkton constituency. Remember Emily Pankhurst soiled her skirts many times in a great crusade to give you women the franchise, and so that you would be individually the equal of man. Respect what she tried to get for you and get out and vote. If you women can put your own houses in such wonderful shape, perhaps by electing the candidates you desire, you can put Canada's house of government in shape. Remember that the Social Credit party respects you as the individual and I know from experience when any man respects your individualism, you have a high regard for him.

...This is straight politics. When you read the newspaper, not necessarily the Enterprise, the Touchwood Times, the Kamsack Times, the Canora Courier, the Wynyard Advance, or the Western Review out of Foam Lake, you will see that the two biggest projects muted for Canada are the St. Lawrence Seaway Project and the Sask. Dam Project. These things have not been completed by the Liberal

government after years and years. And how can they be completed when you find something like this farce comedy in the high Liberal ranks? Jimmy Gardiner is for the Sask. dam, but he always likes to keep it in the plans of the future, so that maybe he can win another election on the promise of a dam... Gardiner's leader, St. Laurent, said the other day, "he is not firmly convinced" of the need of a Sask. dam. That is illogical and outrageous thinking by buddy members of the cabinet and it is certainly not giving a break to the Saskatchewan farmer and to our province. Perhaps St. Laurent in his bastion of Quebec has forgotten that Saskatchewan also is one of the ten. A Social Credit government would have completed the St. Lawrence Seaway Project and the Sask. dam. I remember one gift that Canada made, a gift of one billion dollars. That sum would have built the St. Lawrence Seaway Project and the Sask. dam. We would not have to have been knee deep in legislation with the United States and the Sask. Province as to some arrangement on how they could be built. We should not depend on the United States so much for that Seaway project—when you depend on another country you are that country's dependent.

* * * * *

HELLO VOTERS... In the Yorkton constituency what is your greatest relaxation and recreation of the summer time? You go to the lake, don't you, and you like to take your kids with you. After a week of hard work there is nothing like getting in the sun at some lake side, just loafing and maybe fishing. Your kids frolic in the water, they jump around in the sand, and everyone is quite happy, because no one is interfering with your freedom under the sun. In the Yorkton constituency we have only a few lakes. Here in Yorkton we have our own York Lake, around Kamsack they have Madge Lake, and west of Burgis and east of Theodore they have Devil's Lake.

Last Sunday close to 10,000 people went out to Devil's Lake and most of them were from the Yorkton constituency. Devil's Lake is a natural. There are good woods around it, the lake has placid waters, the beaches are of beautiful sand—even on the Sahara desert I haven't seen sand as soft and as pure. But, ladies and gentlemen, because that place is a provincial government resort, it is almost a stagnant and medieval resort as resorts go. The roads leading to the government beach seem to be old pioneering trails, possibly pretty because they wind so much and go up and down, but certainly not a credit to a 20th. century vibrant Canada. At the lake there are crude facilities for an out of the way village, and certainly nothing for a massing crowd of 10,000. There is no cultivation on those beautiful sand beaches at all. Cars can park wherever they wish, and the sand dunes that should be a boon become a ruin. Why again is there this state of chaos and shoddy supervision and no thought whatever for our summer recreation? It is a government lake, that's why—A Socialist government lake.

Under a Social Credit government, the government that applauds FREE ENTERPRISE, this is what would happen. People from all over the Yorkton constituency, from Wynyard—Punnichy to the Manitoba border, could flock to Devil's Lake and find there a real resort. That road, that winding road, is entirely inadequate. There is a wonderful paved road from Yorkton to Canora and why couldn't a stretch be built from that road, somewhere around Burgis, direct to the lake? That would be a start. Then, free enterprising business men, who would have free rein from a Social Credit government, could move into Devil's Lake and make something of it. Those magnificent sand beaches would look beautiful with cultivation. There would be amusements for you. The kids that waded out fifty yards and not even get their tummies wet in the

greatest kids' beach of all, would be supervised. Tired farmers of the Yorkton constituency could picnic with the aids of modern living.

This is only a small instance where free enterprise of the Social Credit way would improve every day living.

Driving around the Yorkton constituency we find the farmers terrifically dissatisfied with the Liberals at Ottawa and the CCF at Regina. Most of the western farmers vow they will never vote Liberal again because of the now infamous 8.3 cents they got instead of the promised and fair 25. That one point is making many say wicked things about the Liberal government. And now the farmer is once again disappointed by the Liberal government because they at Ottawa think the western farmer does not need the Sask. dam. They feel more and more that there is no one to bat for them at Ottawa.

There is equal abuse being hurled at the C.C.F. government by farmers of the Yorkton constituency. Several are very unsatisfied with the new tax rate in their new school units. Another farmer has complained that he pays for his Sask. Power electricity as a farmer, then because he has a store at his place, he must pay an additional charge.

In the city there is a rumbling dissatisfaction. People are not completely over the money they shell out for hospitalization. They still don't forget the terrific rise in the price of automobile licenses. Recently they have been fretting, and thought the tax spike had hammered their coffin when their city Sask. government telephone rates were raised.

All these things get the people and in this hot summer weather they are bound to blow up—and the day they are expected to blow up will be Aug. 10th. when they will vote the Social Credit way.

* * * * *

GOOD EVENING CONSTITUENTS... Yesterday we looked into the Fonehill, Willowbrook, Jedburgh, Beaverdale district. Part of that district is poor and knew poverty. Poverty made a great number of them turn to Socialism, because somehow a lot of other people were better off than they, and they wished also to share the fruits, so to speak. People of Jedburgh area, if you desire prosperity there is still another way for you to turn—to the Social Credit party. With free enterprise under Social Credit, the oil that many think is in your district would be worked on to "oil" your bank accounts.

Returning back to this city I find that a few people are calling me "Aberhart Jr." and it amuses me, but doesn't bother me for I have had many nicknames in the past. Besides, that is a flattering nickname, for I am not nearly as brilliant as the great humanitarian Aberhart and I never had an idea like his monetary scheme.

That monetary scheme is probably where Social Credit draws most of its abuse and criticism. That is all some people remember about the Social Credit party—almost all of them do not understand it. Don't discredit anything you don't understand, however. For instance, how many of you think that atomic energy is good? Nearly all, of course—yet they say that only ten men in this world understand the theory of relativity with Einstein, only ten.

One phase of the monetary scheme is very simple to understand. You will understand that usually there are more goods produced in the world than there is money to buy them. The Social Credit way is to put more money in circulation to buy those produced goods that you want, and a couple of methods to get that extra money in circulation is by the government to give bigger old age pensions and more family allowances—which Social Credit would do. Another method would be to give money out. When Aberhart thought of institu-

ting this method by his famous \$25 a month scheme, he was the most ridiculed man in the land, when really he was the most far sighted man in the land. Everybody now asks me: "Hey Aberhart Jr. what happened to that 25 bucks?" Well ladies and gentlemen, Aberhart would have introduced the \$25 a month scheme into Alberta if he had been permitted. The Government of Canada, constitutionally, put the freeze on Aberhart's scheme, this action given direction by the big banks and money interests of Canada.

Do you still think that \$25 a month was a crackpot scheme? Why, technocracy in the United States with some very brilliant minds, had even a more generous provision than \$25. That \$25 a month would have been \$300 a year. That's peanuts to what the Government of Canada already has given me, and none of you has ever said to me that that was a crackpot scheme. Yes, the Government of Canada has given me not \$300 a year, but \$3000 a year, for a period of 100 years, away beyond my grave—and that wasn't a Social Credit government either...that was a Liberal Government. This is the way all that happened: During the war the Liberal Government paid me \$3000 a year as a Flying-Officer. It cost them \$40,000 to train me as a pilot. They gave me a \$250,000 airplane to fly, and they said, "son, if they shoot that one from under you (and they did) don't worry, we'll give you another one; in fact we'll even give you a better one, maybe one that costs \$350,000! You see, they didn't give me \$300 a year under the Aberhart scheme, they gave me \$3,000 a year under the Liberal scheme. That's why in war there is prosperity. There could be prosperity in peace too, but they don't do in peace what they did in war. The only thing the matter with war is that war is a time of mutilation, when in peace the time could be one of rejuvenation. In war with that extra dough they gave me they produced war goods...in peace, with the extra dough you could produce peace goods. Think what that would mean to Canada; think what it would mean to the world!

Now back to the Jedburgh-Fitzmaurice area...Think what would happen if extra money, previously rounded up for the dire necessity of war, would be sunk in the Beaver Hills area to exploit the natural resources there, the oil? Yesterday we were told a story where one family of ten used to live in one room. There was a tale of another house that had a dirt floor. There was another tale of young men who had jobs and they couldn't even stook sheaves or trap muskrats for extra money...there was simply nothing for them to do. With the natural resources developed under free enterprise they would have ten dollar a day jobs; pretty soon some of those sons with individual initiative would build modern homes for their parents...There would be prosperity in peace...

* * * * *

GOOD EVENING...Yesterday we were more or less picnicking at Crystal Lake. The Social Creditors of the MacKenzie constituency had their picnic there. A couple hundred were gathered under the trees around Johnnie's Beach to hear Lawrence Dowling of Saskatoon and their own candidate, Fred Patrick, of Kelvington. Although I was really out of my constituency, I also had a small speech, for quite a few people were there from the Canora district and a few from Yorkton...There was also a Bible Camp at Crystal Lake and when we looked for our picnic grounds we were nearly directed to the Bible Camp. I suppose those people were remembering Premier Manning and his religious talks and thought that we naturally sought the Bible Camp. But later on I asked a bystander who had heckled on Manning's religious talks, "what is your diversion?" He said: fishing. And I said, "does anyone interfere with your diversion—fishing?" He said: no—then I said, "why interfere with Mr. Manning's diversion—religious

talks." Right there he was impressed that the Social Credit party was not going to interfere with any man's pursuit of happiness in any way.

At the picnic it was also mentioned in conversation that the Liberal party is not talking about their program for the next few years. They are only talking about the nice fat years of the past, and most of those years—war years. Can't you see that almost every country in the world was prosperous during the war, regardless of the type of government in power, and there were almost as many different governments as countries. The Argentine was prosperous, South Africa, the U.S., Egypt, Sweden—countries in every continent. The Liberals talk about the time when steers were 30 cents a pound. Why don't they talk about what they are now and what they are going to be in the future? Yesterday one farmer in the Gorlitz district told us he was wondering how he could get rid of his cattle this summer, and he was planning on feeding them until January to sell... Some of this sorry condition on beef was caused by Saskatchewan's Foot and Mouth disease. The Liberal government say it is a great triumph for them because they checked it. That is like rejoicing after you have won a war. The better thing to have done would have been to prevent the war. The government should have fired all the civil servants who did not notice the disease and by inattention allowed it to take away those wonderful herds and to destroy much of our market with the U.S. by the embargo.

After the Crystal Lake meeting we went on to Veregin yesterday. There was a big sports day there, and incidentally, we were sorry to see the Yorkton Red Sox get off to a bad start on the draw. They told us there were a few Communists in the area and time and time again conversationalists said that Communists do not support a free enterprise government—usually in a vote they lean towards the Socialists. In my own experience of world travelling I have found that also to be true. In England I found many of the Socialists there to be great apologists for Communism. I found this the same amongst the Socialists of France and of Italy. Mr. Tim Buck of the LPP knows that Social Credit is the enemy of Communism and recently he said, "the Social Credit party stands for making our country a vast reserve of raw materials for the industries of the United States." He was worried that so much attention was being put on the United States, but I'm glad he realizes that the Social Credit party stands for the "awakening" of Canada's natural resources and it will find markets for the goods produced, whether it be the U.S. or Pakistan!

The recent press says that the Liberal and the CCF candidates of Yorkton are labelling one a servant of the Winnipeg grain exchange and the other is denying it. I am not interested in a personality exchange like that. I am only interested in principle and a human dignity that makes me seek a better way of life for myself, that is interspersed with a Christian attitude that would make me work on an altruistic principle to do something good for my neighbor, the fellow constituents of Yorkton, whether he be a farmer at Kelliher or a labourer at Wynyard.

Up to now the election has been rather quiet in the Yorkton constituency and people from other provinces report that it is the same there. A feud does help spice things up—but the voters themselves must be interested in government and an election. In one area in Canada 50 people were asked what was the date of the election, and who were the candidates in their constituency. Only one, a woman, could answer both questions correctly.

* * * * *

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, in this election, just whom are we electing? If the Liberal government gets into power, there may be a lot of men in positions that you certainly didn't vote there. Liberal strategy is to run the election with their big well known names, then once elected, supplant some of the old and tired men with junior unknowns who certainly could not have campaigned to win the election. You will remember how McKenzie King, once elected, more or less picked out his own man to succeed him—St. Laurent. One man picked our prime minister, not you the people. At least in the United States the people get a democratic chance to pick their president.

The same thing may happen in this election. If the Liberals get back into power, St. Laurent may step aside and Ottawa sources say he has groomed young Jack Pickersgill to step into his job as prime minister. That is not the only change either. Finance minister Abbott—as soon as elected—will probably step aside for Mr. Lesage, and here, closer to home, Jimmy Gardiner is campaigning vigorously, but after the election his age and health will necessitate him to get a younger man to carry out his agricultural portfolio. Gardiner will be like Churchill in England, who won the election on prestige, but once in office, was seen very little there, and many of the people that the English did not vote for are running affairs—and to be blunt, very unsatisfactorily.

But even though he is going to give way, St. Laurent is doing his best to keep the Liberals in power. Recently he has warned Canadians that if any other government gets into power, the value of the war bonds that all Canadians bought, is going to drop. The Ottawa Journal calls this the old election technique of fear, when really the value of the Canadian people war bonds dropped drastically under the St. Laurent regime. In 1947 Canada's ninth Victory Loan bonds sold for \$105.25. In 1949 they sold for 102.50. And recently when St. Laurent was warning Canadians of a drop if any other government gets into power, these same bonds dropped to \$88.75. You will see that under St. Laurent the bond has dropped in value \$13. Besides, dollars are not worth what they used to be, so even if the Canadian wants to redeem his bonds, he has taken a further loss.

It is no wonder then that the people of British Columbia have smartened up and booted out the old line parties and voted Social Credit in with a smashing victory. Canadians will smarten up in other provinces too and will perhaps duplicate this magnificent achievement. It is noteworthy that in that British Columbia election, the victory of a final seat went to a Liberal, after CCF Socialist second choices were transferred to him. That meant that the CCFers didn't think too badly of a Liberal getting in, and were somewhat the same in outlook.

British Columbia has followed Alberta with such an outstanding success because really Social Credit is a *crusade*. It stands for fundamental principles. Social Credit wants to uphold the right of every citizen to choose his way of life, provided he does not interfere with the similar rights of others. Social Credit wants to establish firmly and uphold the principles of justice and equity for all men. Social Credit wants to oppose socialism, totalitarianism and regimentation and to promote the system of free competitive enterprise. Social Credit wants to establish the highest standard of Christian morality in politics and government.

With such glowing principles that reach the highest dignity of man, Social Credit proposes economic and financial policies which will build a more prosperous secure and greater Canada. Sufficient money will be maintained in circulation to insure expansion of production and consumption with proper safe-guards, of course, against inflation and deflation.. Effective consumer purchasing power will be maintained to make possible the distribution of production. There will be a thorough revision of the Canadian taxation system. Those taxes that are res-

trictive on productive enterprise will be reduced and abolished. Social Credit plans the eventual liquidation of the national debt. There will be bigger old age pensions and war veterans will get a better break.

Ladies and gentlemen, that vibrant province of British Columbia has ignited with this new concept of Canadian democracy—Saskatchewan can too. In MacKenzie support Fred Patrick of Kelvington, in Melville support Louis Wendell of Neudorf, and support me here in the Yorkton constituency.

* * * * *

GOOD EVENING...Yesterday in the growing progressive town of Foam Lake we found a few that were for the CCF, because they thought it was a Labour government. After the recent bus strike in Regina, how can it be a Labour government, when it isn't for labour? Another man almost felt like getting out of this province because he is restricted in his private enterprise field. He said he had assests of \$87,000, but found he couldn't borrow any place in Sask. the sum of \$10,000, not even from the government loan agency. That's stifling private enterprise. How different it is from the United States where a man can borrow \$10,000 on an idea.

Some other farmers around Tuffnell and Sheho said, "how can we be Socialists when farming is the biggest venture into private enterprise that there is." And isn't it the truth? A farmer is his own business man. He constantly works to have security and capital. He watches markets and right now he sees where the wheat board has pegged this season's wheat at \$1.40 a bushel, which he realizes is only 45 cent wheat because the dollar is worth only one-third what it was. Yes, the farmer wants Social Credit because it guarantees that his private enterprise scheme is going to succeed.

The youth and second generation Canadians are turning to Social Credit almost entirely. I suppose it would be safe, and generous, to call anyone under 45 a youth. These youth realize the great stature of Canada and the great opportunity here, and most of them fought for this great country of opportunity at war, so they want to back a government that will give them that expression associated with a big, vibrant country. Social Credit gives youth that urge to be somebody, that urge to human dignity, that urge to stick with principle and not to be afraid. When you have fear you lose integrity and one of the lesser parties grabs your vote. Youth remember that the future of Canada belongs to them—they must do something now to guarantee their future.

In the Montreal Standard, Solon Low, our National leader, says that 86 years of Liberal and Conservative government have left our country with a heritage of unpayable debt. That is the heritage of youth. And isn't it odd that most of this debt of 17 billion, in fact nearly 15 billion of it, has been accumulated during the last 15 years, during the last 15 years which we mistakenly call the years of prosperity. How can they be prosperous when you accumulate a debt of 15 billion?

In that article Solon Low goes on to say that if, after 86 years, the old parties have failed to take even the first steps toward the solution of this tragic situation it must be obvious to anybody that there is no hope in them. We must turn elsewhere.

Social Credit can bring to Canada continued prosperity in peacetime. It can put sense back into the Canadian dollar. Social Credit can reduce the burdens of taxation. Look what they did in Alberta—made it almost a debt free

province. Social Credit can make available to the hungry people of the world the bountiful production of Canadian farms.

Remember that Social Credit, and this should impress the CCF group, that Social Credit is the only party outside the Liberals and Conservatives, that has won more than one province of the west—Social Credit is in power in Alberta and British Columbia.

It was my experience just the other day to run into a man from British Columbia, and he spoke to me as a stranger and said, "CCF may be okay for a province like yours that isn't going any place, but out in British Columbia where we are geared to the future, the dynamic future, Social Credit is the only government for us. We're establishing a new Pacific north-west out there, and the rest of Canada will have to move to keep up with us."

Let's keep up with British Columbia. Let's not languish behind. Along with British Columbia and Alberta, let us in Saskatchewan send Social Credit members to Ottawa, so that west of the Lakehead we will have a Social Credit majority, and then we can do something for the west. The east will have to listen to an official opposition of the west that is Social Credit. We can do our share towards this objective right here. In the MacKenzie constituency support Fred Patrick of Kelvington, in Melville back Louis Wendell, and here in Yorkton, vote for Stan Obodiac. When you see our very noticeable cars in your districts, we are there for meetings, or we are just inquiring of your afflictions under Liberal and CCF regime.

* * * * *

HELLO VOTERS...I suppose a few of you read MacLean's Magazine and in the last issue you noticed a wonderful series of articles entitled: The Party I'll Vote For...The articles were written by some distinguished Canadian men of letters, Hugh MacLennan, Scott Young, Lister Sinclair and Bob Bowman. Each of them chose a major party that they would vote for. MacLennan chose the Liberals, Scott Young chose the Conservative party, Sinclair chose the CCF, and Bob Bowman was emphatic that he would vote Social Credit. It was amusing to see that only one man, Bob Bowman, was "convinced" of his party selection. MacLennan cried about the weakness of Canadian Liberal leaders, but still said he was going to vote for them. Scott Young said he was voting Conservative because he wanted the Liberals out of power, but added that he would vote Social Credit if he knew they could defeat the Liberals in a clear majority. Lister Sinclair handed out the usual Socialist tripe and tried to be funny in the theatrical style of Tommy Douglas in condemning everybody.

All these three men were not "convinced" of their party. How different from Bob Bowman who knew *exactly* where he was going in choosing Social Credit. He used some beautiful language and common sense in choosing Social Credit. It was a wonderful article, and I do not only say this because coincidentally Bob Bowman's radio station in New Brunswick has copies of a couple of my books.

In the first paragraphs Bob Bowman begins with a beautiful sentence: "Social Credit is the last bastion of free enterprise we are likely to have in this age. I like freedom, and Social Credit is the only alternative to socialism that meets the needs of this atomic age."

Further on Bob Bowman inferred that hidden taxes were robbing the Canadian people. Toothpaste cost 40c. when it should be 30. Baby powder sold for 35 when it should be a quarter. And you women were using dollar lipstick that should only cost 85c. These hidden taxes are only there to pay the interest on

Canada's debt. Social Credit is for the elimination of Canada's debt. Liberals, Conservatives and Socialists want to keep piling up debts and taxes. Bob Bowman asks: "who are the real crackpots?"

Bowman suggests that Alberta now under Social Credit, is the greatest financial risk in Canada. That position was not only reached by the good fortune of oil. It was the Social Credit government that let that oil, by great guidance, be explored. We have oil in Saskatchewan too. They say that anywheres a champion steer can be produced, but the farmers with more savvy produce them.

Bob Bowman ends his article with the paragraph: "Social Credit is the answer to inflation and deflation. The present capitalist system cannot long survive the chronic drift into the whirlpool of higher prices and higher wages, nor can it survive another period of chronic unemployment and poverty. Monetary policy has to be redesigned to open up broader channels of trade."

Well, Bob Bowman is certainly going to vote Social Credit on Aug. 10th. There are many in Alberta and British Columbia that will, and now there are many in Manitoba and Saskatchewan that will. All these people want to vote Social Credit and let it represent the West with a majority.

Ladies and gentlemen, with the Korean war over—now what? The war of 39-45 and the Korean war of 50-53 made all countries fairly prosperous irregardless of government. War is prosperity for those at home. Who is going to guarantee that prosperity now? Only Social Credit seems to have the effective answers to guarantee prosperity in times of peace. If there is no further war in the future, you will see how necessary it is for Canada to have Social Credit, and for many other parts in the world to follow the example of Canada, just as they are awakening to Social Credit in Australia, New Zealand, and the United States. These countries have recognized the money and production policy as greatly necessary for their own economies of the future.

We are proceeding about the district as best we can. It's a big constituency, but we are trying to see most of you. There is not enough money for things like expensive posters; some of our money goes into these daily broadcasts at 6.25 to keep you informed and of course aware of our integrity.

* * * * *

SINCE BECOMING the Social Credit candidate for Yorkton I have received much literature from various sources. Some of it is even Communist literature. A Communist believes that if you answer a question: Do YOU BELIEVE IN PEACE ? by "yes", you are with them. A man's signature—any way—is essential to them for the wrath that they spew on the world.

Some of the literature however is good, and it is essential to the constituency of Yorkton. For instance the Hudson Bay Route Association says it was organized to promote the development to full practical use of the Hudson Bay Route as our Western Outlet to European Markets. They ask, if I were elected, would I work for the Route? Certainly, I would. I believe the Hudson Bay Route is good for the west. I believe it is good for the western farmer. The Route is necessary for western development. It could be our counterpart to the St. Lawrence Seaway Project. By the Hudson Bay Route European markets would be available to us. Freight rates should be lowered on the Hudson Bay railroad so the western farmer could be able to ship his wheat much more cheaply, and there should be a bigger elevator at Churchill to handle his grain.

The Sask. Farmers Union also sends a letter and asks me to comment on their policies. I believe that the Sask. Farmers Union is a good representative voice of the Sask. farmer and farm woman. Social Credit believes that the posi-

tion of western agriculture has deteriorated, like the Union does, and the Social Credit party quickly sees that the cost of machinery, fuel, labor has jumped high, but the farm income is not keeping pace with the costs. The other day a farmer told me he is getting out of agriculture because he can't make ends meet. It is a matter of grave concern to farmers that many markets abroad are being lost, so even if conditions and weather are fine here, the over-all picture is not bright. Social Credit would alleviate this condition of trade and farmers' produce would be sold around the world at good prices. Convertibility of sterling, and acceptance of other countries' money, is only one solution—a Social Credit idea. Social Credit would even "barter trade" goods—they would find a solution for western farmers.

Another letter comes from the League For Democratic Rights, and they ask if I am for a "Bill of Rights" for Canada. A Bill of Rights constitutes the right to freedom of thought, of assembly, of religion, and those noble things—and of course I am for them. Anything that is fair and just is also the Social Credit way.

A Liberal supporter, speaking over CJGX, said the other day that the support of any other candidate endangers the present way of life. That is ridiculous in a sense that it is discriminating, incidentally one of the things that the Bill of Rights is opposed to. In another sense it is true, for support of the Social Credit Candidate would certainly endanger the present way of life—but what is the present way of life?—high taxes that are not necessary, and just the other day I figured out that even though we lost 296 men in three years of the Korean war, it cost us \$2 billion a year for armaments. If the present way of life is high cost of machinery for the farmer, then we are certainly going to endanger that way of life. If the present way of life is tinkering with tariffs, we are certainly going to endanger that. We, the Social Creditors, are going to endanger everything that spells abuse.

I am so election conscious these days that on Sunday at Madge Lake, at the fish derby, someone said: "there are 200 boats here." and I quickly asked, "how many votes?—which I thought he said. In some spare lake moments I got a chance to read a magazine article and it said that most women vote for a political candidate because they like the color of his eyes. That is not so, is it women? You are profound politicians too. You think well. You have integrity. You analyze the political field too. You know that Social Credit has for you too what you seek in life. For instance you know too that Alberta oil, brought about by the free enterprise of Social Credit, flows into Sask. and Manitoba by pipe line and that it benefits us and that the governments here get revenue from it, revenue from a Social Credit development in Alberta. You women also do not like to see your sons go to war and you would support a Social Credit government that guarantees full employment for your sons in time of peace...

* * * * *

NOW THAT THE CANDIDATES have been officially named, above all get out and vote. It is still one of the big duties of Canadianism. It is very important for whom to vote too. Yesterday on the radio Walter Tucker said more or less, never mind your convictions, vote Liberal because they will be the government. At one instant he wanted to make dupes out of you and have you give up and ignore your integrity. Liberalism and Conservatism are parties of the past, the past that we wish to improve on. These parties offer something new, the CCF, the Communists and the Social Credit. Of these three, however, only Social Credit is the most advanced, progressive and sane one. Those of you that

would vote CCF would vote yourself into government slavery. I saw the way the Socialist government in England dealt that country such a blow, that it has not recovered, and in fact was instrumental in the partial disintegration of the British empire... One of the things that Mr. Coldwell is ranting about these days, very insistently, is his hospitalization plan. He says it is odd that Canada should remain with those other two democracies, the U.S. and Australia, in not having a suitable hospitalization plan. The way he says it, it makes us sound as secondary nations, when after all we are the three greatest democracies of the new world—and if it were such a sorry picture in hospitalization they wouldn't be lined up thousands deep in Europe and the rest of the oppressed lands at emigration offices, begging to come to those democracies, including Canada. The principle of hospitalization is good, and the Social Credit group supports it, but it is worked in Socialist countries or provinces under a system of compulsion, one of the very things that destroys our freedom. In England the hospitalization under the Labour government was much more advanced than our Saskatchewan one—don't let anyone kid you that Saskatchewan's is supreme. In England almost everything was free, not just hospitals. You get free doctors, free dentists, and thousands queued for their services that became a medical assembly line. England became a nation of valetudinarians (which means people who delighted in being sick and because of it slackened on the jobs). They got free dentures, free spectacles, free toupees—and things were in such a chaotic condition that in the first year health minister Aneurau Bevan was £550 million too low in his health bill estimates!

That is only one way in which Socialism helped wreck what was once the magnificent nation of England. Social Credit has no ideas of wrecking a nation; it wants to grandly build it up.

Yesterday we went to some of those towns in the constituency that are jewels amongst the hills, Jasmin, Kelliher, Leross, Lestock, Punnichy and Raymore. Around Punnichy we saw many Indian clay huts, and we deplored the fact that in this twentieth century there should be huts like that. Social Credit offers to the Indians of the Punnichy and Lestock area an equal dignity so they can go out with individual initiative and enterprise to lift their own conditions, and eventually build comforts that are decent to all human beings. You know, the conditions of Indians now is what would happen to most whites under Socialism, because those conditions are there because a government "looks after" them—when really it doesn't look after them at all. Governmental control, statism, or regimentation, destroys the integrity of the individual, so that he is satisfied and satisfied with nothing. Social Credit develops the dynamic powers that are in an individual himself, the dynamic powers that make of him a magnificent specimen of human being.

But the Indian as a whole is not the only one that has deplorable living conditions. Housing is still a Dominion problem and no one seems to be doing enough for it. Housing conditions were supposed to be solved after the first few years of the war, but they still aren't. And if they aren't satisfactory, home lives cannot be established. Even in the Yorkton constituency there is a crying need for homes. How many of you people live in basement suites, how many live with other families, how many of you can't borrow to build the dream house that you have always desired? Social Credit would help you. Advances would be made to get you building your own house. Instead of the exorbitant interest figures of 5 to 8 per cent, Social Credit would stress very low interest figures so you could borrow to build on your own. And of course Social Credit would slash sale taxes and such, bringing building materials down to a sensible level.

Although previously we have constantly spoken on the principles of the Social Credit movement, and have stated in fact the mis-government of the CCF in Saskatchewan and the Liberals at Ottawa, we have not run through completely what Social Credit has to offer as a program for Canada. This I shall do today.

No. 1 is the revision of the tax system to abolish or reduce taxes which are "restrictive in their effect on productive enterprise." Any tax that is restrictive on *productive* enterprise will be reduced or abolished. That is in line with Social Credit policies to let the individual accomplish something under a spirit of free enterprise.

No. 2 is the abolition of all sale taxes except the one which finances the old age pensions, and reductions in income taxes. We all know that crippling sale taxes of 11% are imposed so Canada could pay the interest of half a billion dollars each year on Canada's debt. Social Credit plans to eliminate that debt, so much of the sale tax won't be necessary. That is not naive, but good sound economy... The sale tax, or a small percentage, that aids in the financing of old age pensions, will still be imposed... Lately George Drew has spoken about the decrease in income taxes, and *his* figures have been quite generous, but Social Credit had ideas of income tax reductions quite some time ago. In time Solon Low envisages the single Canadian who makes under \$2,500 a year as paying no tax at all. The married person with children would be exempt under \$5,000. Now that the Korean war is over income tax could be reduced greatly, for 2 billion dollars are needed for armaments—and this in peace time.

No. 3 is the institution of a national monetary authority to control issue of money and credit. This is one of the basic ideas behind Social Credit. This is one thing that makes it the party of the future. This is one of the things that make the old line parties archaic and launches Social Credit as the only progressive system. This is the inevitable heritage of anyone that expects to live 20 years or more in this country. If the 20th. century belongs to Canada, the 20th. century belongs to Social Credit. On other broadcasts I have spoken about the control of money and credit and do not wish to repeat.

No. 4 is full employment by distribution of surplus goods and services. With the Korean war over and with Canada giving opportunity to more and more immigrants, more jobs will have to be found. A better distribution of surplus goods, which now stands at a \$4 billion dollar surplus, would create more jobs which immigrants, vets, could plunge right into.

No. 5 includes pensions for all aged. Alberta now has the best pension scheme of all for the aged, and Social Credit sees the bigger pensions as another way of getting more money into circulation, money that would not be hoarded but spent to sustain old life.

No. 6 has new federal-provincial arrangements to ensure adequate provincial revenues. A greater spirit of co-operation should exist between all provinces and the Dominion—after all it is one country. More money should be allotted western provinces who sometimes have a difficult time controlling their own destinies, just because the majority of the people live in the east, and consequently get a greater share of the fruits that are tossed about from a federal benefactor.

No. 7 would have an international trade policy based on exchange of goods between nations to make the world's productivity available to all. Everyone in Canada is alarmed that Canada's trade markets are being lost. Our great customer Britain is not going to buy so much of the Western Canadian farmers' wheat; in fact it is reported that they turn to Russia for 200 million bushels. With our granaries full, as the recent elevator fire in Yorkton showed, and those of the United States full, and western forecasters predicting another

500,000,000 bushel crop year, just where is all that food stuff going to go if the Liberal policy is to lose customers. Before a dire situation arises, Canada will have to recognize British currency and give it full convertibility. If any other nation needs our productivity and produce, we should resort to the barter system, before someone gets the idea of destroying our wheat like they did to the coffee in Brazil. Even bequests of some of our food stuffs could be made to the hungry, impoverished nations of the world to solve international trade.

Everest has been conquered, but there is an "everest" of obstacles in Canada, and Social Credit plans to conquer them.

* * * * *

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN—When Alberta began sending back Social Credit governments with great majorities, because the people were *very* satisfied, the rest of the political parties thought they could afford to lose one province. Now, after another great Social Credit victory in the great Pacific province of British Columbia, the parties are alarmed that someone else has won appeal from the people. Yesterday in a talk Finance Minister Abbott almost conceded victory to the Social Credit party in the west. He warned the people not to let the Conservatives in, as they would then no doubt form a coalition with the party with majority in the west, which his good judgement told him, would be the Social Credit party.

There are others that see the rise of Social Credit in the west with alarm. The CCF thought they would be the party of the west, but they have not learnt the lesson of democracies that all socialist governments fall after a one time experience.

Ladies and gentlemen, you know that tactic in man, that when he sees something coming up to dislodge where he has been supreme, he attacks what is coming up viciously, with distortion, with untruths. There have been many attacks like this on Social Credit, even though it is the greatest party of principle and morality in politics that was ever conceived!

The latest attack is in New Liberty magazine by Leslie Roberts. You perhaps know that New Liberty is Canada's highest circulating magazine, and the new editors in "spicing" up the magazine, use stories of sensationalism, and are printing fantastic articles like "Social Credit Means Dictatorship" alongside articles like the naughty loves of King Farouk. You see, they try for sensationalism any way.

Leslie Roberts is a Canadian author, has contributed to American magazines like Saturday Evening Post and so on. His story in New Liberty is one of the cheapest pieces of reporting I have seen. He must have resorted to a last tactic to get a sale. He admits the Social Credit party is booming out west and bidding strongly for power—by that he admits the greatness of Social Credit—yet he makes the ridiculous statement that Social Credit means dictatorship. Some scare pictures of Nazi marching troops are printed on the lead page, Nazi pictures mind you, not Canadian pictures. It's hypocritical that Roberts calls Social Credit a "remarkable" party, yet he insists on slamming it. He says Social Credit wants to abolish all other political parties. Nonsense, but wouldn't it be fine if all people were of good principle and had no room for evil, then you wouldn't need other parties. Roberts is off track when he says Social Credit wishes to make extinct general elections. It would be fine though if we could get rid of the \$7,000,000 cost of elections...And how utterly ridiculous that the secret ballot would be rubbed out, just because some one in Alberta once stated that no man should be afraid of the way he votes and mark it in the open, for

actually in secrecy he is afraid. A suggestion like that is not legislation and law. Roberts was afraid of freedom of the press under Social Credit, because they objected to much mishandling of the news about the party and wished Alberta press people to print with equal space the true facts. If New Liberty does not allow a denial of Roberts' article, then *they*, and we the people, haven't any freedom of the press.

The next piece of rot was that Social Credit was anti-semitic. Social Credit is against big money interests and the loaning at sky-high interest figures. That does not make them anti-semitic, because some of those people happen to be one race or religion. The United States is not anti-semitic because the Rosenbergs died when they committed treason. An attack on a part of Zionism is not anti-semitic, for Zionism and the race are not always allied. From my own personal experience I deny emphatically that Solon Low is anti-semitic. On the transatlantic crossing when I met him in 1948, I talked intimately with him on promenades of the deck, at tea and so on, and he was always a man of sterling character and never one of intolerance, never once even joking about various religions. Even Mr. Manning's Sunday religious broadcasts are criticized. Right here in Yorkton one alderman teaches Sunday School. Does that interfere with his aldermanic duties? And quite conclusively to Leslie Roberts, how could I, who fought dictatorship for three years and knew how it functioned, be associated with a party of dictatorship in Canada?

Even though the Enterprise calls the Yorkton constituency a two-way fight, I ask your support—they have been wrong before you know.

* * * * *

HELLO COMMON PEOPLE—well, that's what the Socialist government calls you. That's what it calls all you hard working farmers, all you labourers who earn your bread by the sweat of your brow, all you people that aren't at least in the \$5,000 a year class...COMMON PEOPLE...I hate that appellation. There is nothing common about you voters at all, unless you are rude, abusive or intolerant. I say, and the Social Credit party says, you are great human beings and you have individual dignity. Socialists call you common, because they put you in a class of the inferior massed against the supposed oppressive, and wish you to band together, so they can get your vote, as they realize that there are so many of you. I have talked to some of the world's dominants and have talked to workers as I sweated in a threshing gang or a gravel gang, and I have always seen the labourer as the individual equal of the millionaire. The Social Credit party is for integrity of men, irregardless of position. Social Credit has always been for the man with insufficient. The other day a former Alberta resident handed me an Alberta Citizens' Registration Covenant, away back from the early days in Alberta under that great humanitarian Aberhart, and the very first provision says: to co-operate most heartily with my fellow citizens of Alberta in providing food, clothing and shelter for *every one of us*. To establish and maintain a just rate of wages with reasonable hours of labour...That is what Social Credit thought of the man whose heritage was not wealth, and whose position was inopportunity!

Now, common man, do you think CCF humanitarian when they stopped increase of old age pensions for some time when all the other provinces went into the increase, and the Social Credit government had the greatest old age pension scheme of all? Although Yorkton is the thickest settled area in the province pleas for an old age home have been ignored.

In the matter of hospitalization which has great appeal for the people

with lower incomes, although much money is extracted from you in tax, there are many times when you can't get room in the Yorkton General Hospital. One man joked to me: "the only people that get in there are the young gallants who go through the doors to pick up their nurse girl friends"... Yorkton is the surgical, dental and medical centre of the entire constituency. A new hospital should be constructed here, and it would be built if the CCF and Liberal governments contributed a just share in the building. If it costs \$10,000 a bed in the construction of an hospital, the Federal and provincial governments should contribute more than half. They say that millions were spent in the construction of the University hospital at Saskatoon, no doubt a need, but an expensive need when 15 hospitals could have been built about the province for the people.

All around the constituency people have said things were quiet, but things have warmed up considerably. At Rhein Wednesday at the conclusion of the meeting, Al Herman, former University of Sask. graduate and teacher in Alberta for four years, wanted to make sport with us speakers. He is a clever young man, but in a rapid exchange of debate we had him admit that Alberta had a wonderful government—and the crowd applauded that. They also applauded a quote from Tommy Douglas that Sask. was a poor country—and who but he had made it that, when it could be equal to the energetic province of Alberta.

It was also reported to us in Rhein that some of our posters were torn down, while those of the CCF in an accompanying space remained unmolested. That is small stuff, capable only of a "common" person—common meaning in this case without sense. The Social Credit policy has always been to treat with great respect anything that belongs to the opposition.

And the Liberals over this station have their noon talks. The people, from this constituency's very capable to the not so capable, say they are voting Liberal, but most of what they say is written by one of Saskatchewan's best newspaper writers. That's a type of conviction that someone else has put in your mouth. To date I have had 14 radio broadcasts and all the material has been the product from the factory of my own mind.

Next week is an hectic week for us. There will be meetings in Kelliher on Monday night, in Foam Lake Tuesday night, and later on in the week we will announce dates for Kamsack, Wynyard and Yorkton. Joe Thäuberger will come in to assist in crusading for Social Credit, the party that is a crusade.

* * * * *

WELL I SEE where the Liberal party have dragged out once again into the political arena, that veteran campaigner and old warhorse, Carl Stewart. The Liberals have so many speakers in the field, that by now I have forgotten who their candidate is. Carl was in great form Saturday. I have always admired his energy, lack of fear, and contributions to Yorkton, which he constantly reminds you of, but remember that shoddy means to an end does not justify that end, no matter how noble the end may be.

During one part of his speech Carl Stewart said: the Social Credit candidate has no chance—yet let me impress this on you ladies and gentlemen:::: Last fall, just before I left for Switzerland I spoke with Carl in his own home and he asked me to be the Liberal candidate, or to be interested in becoming that candidate. I said, "Sorry, wrong party." Carl told me: you old B. (he uses strong language, you know), you would be a splendid candidate. You're young. You're popular. You've got a great war record, and he told me how important it was. And he told me some other fine things, about cleverness and world experi-

ence. These things would have been suitable for *his* party, but now he thinks they are not suitable for the Social Credit party.

Carl puts a terrific emphasis on war service; in fact half his talk on Saturday was about this kind of marvellous contribution to your country. It is true that I am the only candidate who served in the last war, in the thickest of battles. If Stewart thinks it is important, okay let it be important when you mark your "x" on voting day.

The Liberals have certainly put a lot of emphasis on this seat. By the time it is through they will have had four people of the cabinet rank here, Gardiner, Chevrier, Prudham and St. Laurent. The prime minister's wasn't just a social visit either. Why didn't he come to last year's fair, but this fair before the election, and he did have Pickersgill in his party too.

Whom have I got to call on? All these people speak *for* the Liberal candidate. I speak for myself. You know that I am sincere and that I fight with my own resources.

And the CCF are bringing their big guns into the Yorkton constituency too. Last Saturday it was Douglas, not the major, but Tommy. Both of these parties are afraid that Social Credit will get a foothold, as they are alarmed that the two great western provinces of Alberta and British Columbia went for Social Credit, who now are incidentally enjoying the greatest governments they ever had. Ask any traveller, if you doubt that statement!

On Saturday, although the CCF avoided the socialist line, they spoke about the new idea of working collectively together. Is that a new idea? Isn't there a system of collectivism in Russia. Voters, you don't know how dangerous this massing together is, this governmental regimentation. Can't you see that the individual, which the Social Credit party champions, is going to be extinguished? You will only be told, told constantly, forced, forced constantly, compelled constantly. Don't experiment with the bonds of slavery, for once removed, the human spirit is still slave, slave until death, because it cannot recognize between freedom and oppression. Massed society in servitude can produce nothing. Social Credit emphasizes the individual, for only through an "individual" undertaking can anything be created.

Several times on these broadcasts I have stressed the dignity of you, you singular. It is intended that every one of you be looked after by Social Credit. Socialism stresses you, but you plural, you the masses, because it wishes to control that mass, so that it will only have one face, not individual faces.

A speaker Saturday said: if you wish to foretell the future, look to the past. He saw the past as the depression of the 30s, which he called a "depression of abundance", because there were plenty of goods, but there was no money to buy them. Now, another bumper crop is in store for the farmers and there is going to be an abundance; only Social Credit has ideas of letting everyone dig into that abundance, and it has the ideas of creating markets for the farmers, ideas that other parties have taken as their own in the past week.

* * * * *

THE POLITICAL life is where you can be attacked most, more than in a sport life, and often groundlessly. In our meetings about the towns during the question periods, sometimes very spirited question periods, I have answered every attack. Now it is time to answer some of the personal attacks. One of the first ones is the label of a "boy-politician". Well, ladies and gentlemen, there is only one age, no matter how old you are—the living age. Is there any man of seventy amongst you that can compose the music Mozart did at seven? Is there

any man amongst you, even 85, who had the world experience that I did at 27? Or at war, why didn't you older people say, "say, you're too young to lead our squadrons." And you know in the past that at 27 Alexander conquered the world. Certainly there was some older people around too... And of course you know about the man who at 33 had completed all there was to complete in this world, completed with such greatness that we living 2,000 years after, follow what he taught. No, there is only one age, the living age.

Then there are others that don't respect the choice of my party, the Social Credit party. I know a great many of you. Did I ever show any ill-respect for the party you chose? Was our friendship ever aggravated because of it? No, I respected your freedom of choice, incidentally a freedom of choice that Social Credit believes in. There are many of you that know that I stand for principle and integrity. Don't you think that I looked for these things to find in a political party and that I found them in the Social Credit party?

Some one else asked what I knew about agriculture. In my time I have spent many working hours on a farm and I have lived all my life in Yorkton amongst agricultural problems. My personal economy depended on the farmers to a great extent. When they were well off, we in Yorkton were well off. I understand world markets and international trade and Social Credit has some wonderful measures to stabilize agriculture. Social Credit supports the farmers' union, is all for the Hudson Bay Route. They want a home market constantly supplied with effective purchasing power. Produce should be sold under a two price system and there should be a permanent Agricultural Prices Support Act to insure parity.

Another claim is that you are not a business man and you spew philosophy recklessly. I ask you: what business did MacKenzie King have? Or is Eisenhower a business man? And some of you who read the classics will remember what Plato said in "The Republics". He said if you had philosophers in government, you would have a perfect government.

Somebody else mentioned that during the campaign I went out to play golf or baseball. What's the matter with those good Canadian games? Besides, didn't Drake continue to bowl when the Spanish Armada was sighted, and then administer it such a great defeat that Britain vaulted into empire supremacy?

Then some bad thinking fanatics amongst you have stupidly stated that because of my religion priests will tell their flocks for whom to vote. What nonsense! Since O'Dwyer and I go to the same church, and we are of different parties, doesn't that contradict any foolish statement like that?

Others of you have attacked the nationality that I am. What's wrong with a Canadian nationality, which I possess. Believe me, the Social Credit party doesn't stand for intolerances such as that.

You know, when you do nothing, you will never be attacked. It is only when you do something that you are attacked. Social Credit is being attacked these days. They must be doing something. What they did in Alberta and British Columbia must be something.

CCFers say something about Social Credit getting less and less of the vote in Saskatchewan. When you have less and less candidates in the field of provincial politics, naturally you are going to get less and less votes. Why don't the CCF mention their figures of Dominion politics which is now the question, not provincial. I'll do it for them. In 1945 they had 28 members in the House. In 1948 they had 13. Imagine that decrease, and they'll be lucky if they get those 13 back in this election. Everything is pointing to a Social Credit majority in the west, and Saskatchewan is slowly following the example of Alberta and British Columbia.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, the magazine Saturday Night says in its last issue that Saskatchewan used to send to Ottawa a group of members of higher than average ability, men like Dunning and Motherwell, who always had the ear of Parliament and were influential in the councils of the party... And it goes on to say: But the province's contingent in the last House of Commons was an undistinguished lot of run-of-the-mill politicians, of whom the ill-starred Austin Dewar was an example. Then the article says the average quality of the house will not suffer if most of the Liberal members from Saskatchewan are left at home. That's right, leave those Liberals at home, send Social Crediters down to Ottawa and give it a western majority. The Liberals from here do not vote or legislate on their own personal convictions; they follow the party lines, the dictates of the party which is eastern controlled. For instance our western members voted against the 25c wheat increase and didn't have the guts to abstain or vote negative. Ladies and gentlemen, if I were at Ottawa I would never vote against anything detrimental to this constituency of Yorkton, or vote against my convictions. If Social Credit got away from just and honest legislation I would vote against them.

The government in this province talks about helping labour so much, and much of its strength is drawn from there, but you don't know how deceived you are. Social Credit that applauds that individual effort and wishes on labour the best conditions possible, is really the champion of labour. During the bus strike in Regina, someone aptly put it this way: "sure they are a Labour government—they are labouring to keep our wages down." Why couldn't their wages at least be equal to the employees of a free enterprise bus system? You want figures now to show you that the labourer in Saskatchewan is the least paid in the entire Dominion, this under a supposed "humane" government that beckons to workers. Pay packets in Windsor, Ontario average \$66.07, and the average for all Canada is \$57.51 a week, but in Saskatchewan it falls below the \$45 a week figure. In Alberta the employment index is the highest in the entire Dominion with a figure of 223. My own brother had to shove off for Calgary for a job, and now at \$1.68 an hour after only a month at work, he is making double what he made here in Yorkton, what he made here in Saskatchewan. There is another Yorkton boy at the Land Titles who was offered a \$750 a month job in Calgary, but he refused as he has home ties. No, when this province has a minimum wage act, that enamors many of you, that is just what it is—a minimum wage, and you mass of labourers they wish to keep at a minimum wage. They want to keep all of you equal. You're easier to control that way. Every set of workers in Yorkton, Wynyard or Foam Lake is below any similar set in other parts of the Dominion, and we have the only Socialist government, so figure it out. Just take the waitresses in cafes in Yorkton, Punnichy, Canora or Kamsack for example. What's your minimum wage—\$18? You think that's great because by minimum wage you know you can't make less, but don't forget that you can also make a great deal more. You girls haven't been around; this province isn't protecting you. In almost all cities across Canada, Calgary, Vancouver (Social Credit Cities you know) you can make \$30 a week, and sometimes tips, which you don't receive here, run those girls' wages to \$50 a week. Why, in Montreal I know waitresses that go to Florida every winter! How about any other working woman in Yorkton or Raymore, a secretary or typist. Haven't you ever received letters from the women that have left Yorkton for Edmonton or some such place and they are getting double what you are here? They say nurses get a fair wage in Yorkton, but they really work for it under our loaded hospitalization scheme. Go to B.C. and you nurses will receive up to \$50 a month more or if you

want to get down to the gravvy of the United States, go there and receive nursing salaries of \$300 a month.

Well, they say that the men in the armed forces have already voted over in Europe and Korea, and they say that even some 16 year old soldiers voted. I wonder how many soldiers from the Yorkton constituency voted for me, your former buddy at war, or voted for Social Credit that is going to give you better veteran's allowances without a means test, going to give you employment, going to give you family allowances—of course 16 year olders will not need that.

* * * * *

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, get on the swing to Social Credit. Take your example from the success Social Credit has had in Alberta and the way it swept to power in British Columbia. Be confident in it in Saskatchewan and Manitoba. Give Social Credit a majority in western Canada; let it form the effective opposition in Parliament. Social Credit will work for you farmers; it will find you markets for that surplus of grain. Be confident in Social Credit right here in the Yorkton district. Going amongst you this seems to be the information we are receiving... People are going to support the Social Credit candidate. There are all kinds of dissatisfactions—they are swinging towards Social Credit, the only progressive form of government left for them to chose. These are the votes they tell us they are going to give us. They say there are 2,500 real Social Crediters in the constituency, because once you are a Social Crediter you never change... you have a magnificent thing that glorifies you the individual, and as Hansell says, you don't get Social Credit, it gets you, and I elaborate on that and say, I have always had Social Credit, because I was born with integrity... Then the Conservatives in the Yorkton constituency say they are swinging their vote and support our way. If they do, that would be another 1,000 votes... About the district we met farmers who will never vote Liberal again in their lives, because the past M.P. voted against their wheat increase of 25c, so that should be another 1,000 votes there. They say that voluble Carl Stewart drew 3,000 more votes than O'Dwyer can possibly attract, so where are those 3,000 going to vote? Certainly not Communist, but if they got principle and are interested in morality in politics, then Social Credit. Just as in the Liberal group, we meet the people who are off the CCF bandwagon; the farmers and town folk see how the provincial government have mistreated them, and my talks have pointed out the fallacy of Socialism and people are once again looking for individual freedom that Social Credit champions... The CFF will also lose the Communist votes, for if Paluck weren't in the field, they would not vote Communist, they would vote CCF, so our visits amongst you tell us... And lastly they say that 3,000 friends of mine and people who know my record are going to vote for me. That should be another 5,000 votes along with the people who are dissatisfied with the CFF. Those are the things you told me; I hope you don't change your mind on August 10th. If you know me, I ask your vote.

Yesterday the Liberals had a couple of more speakers on GX, which has already risen to a cavalcade of speakers, but yesterday they drew a couple from the higher class. However, Andrew Kindred, who has been a Liberal candidate twice in the provincial elections, could not even pronounce his prime minister's name. In another part of the address, a very cultured woman, Mrs. Ann Portnuff, said that the Liberals had reduced the debt of Canada. My dear Mrs. Portnuff, you should remain with your housewivery tasks and your cultured gramophone records and not venture out into the field of politics, if you believe that, or was that script written for you? In 1938 the national debt of Canada was 2 billion dollars,

and today it stands at 17 billion. Is that reduction? Perhaps Mrs. Portnuff believes that smoke goes down a chimney and not up. Only Social Credit has satisfactory ideas of reducing the national debt of Canada, and they are the greatest debt reducers in the world. Alberta is debt free and they wiped out 164 million dollars there, and just after one year in British Columbia, they reduced the debt there 34 million dollars. The Liberals talk about prosperity, which is a war prosperity, but how can you really have prosperity and be 17 billion in debt?

In our meetings about the district we are outdrawing the other parties, and we haven't any big speakers either. Perhaps the people want to hear the story of Social Credit. At Canora last night, which had a rain that made a mess of their town streets, and we were not in the downtown Rainbow hall, but in the smaller Catholic one, we drew nearly 100, and as Mr. Balesta, a Liberal, said, we left a definite impression. In a prolonged question period about monetary reform I think Mr. Zadarnyko was made to see that banks have no business of getting that 10 per cent interest on the government's own money.

All about we are receiving the same words, words saying that we've got to try this system of government, this Social Credit, that has enchanted the people of Alberta and British Columbia, and as a minister from B.C. told me two days ago, even the people that voted against it are satisfied.

* * * * *

WELL LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, this is the last of my radio talks to you...Someone said they were like Roosevelt's fireside talks...I have had 19 radio talks in all and I have told you the wonderful story of Social Credit. Yesterday I read Gladstone, and he said, one example is worth a thousand arguments. And isn't that the truth...Take the example of Alberta; take the example of British Columbia. They have wonderful government there and the people are alert to the philosophy of Social Credit that stresses the individuality of each person and cloaks him with the dignity of freedom. That's the way people wish to live in the future orders. This is the progressive way. This is the evolutionary way, and if we live the Social Credit way, Professor Toynebee should not be alarmed at the decadence of western civilization. Why, out in British Columbia Premier Bennett is predicting that Social Credit will win all of the 22 seats, because in the provincial election not one Liberal or Conservative was leading on the first count on the transferable ballot, and in this election we use the single ballot. He figures the CCF have no chance, and no wonder, when you see the way Mr. Coldwell tarnished his reputation in B.C. and Alberta by using cheap, abusive, untrue things about Social Credit. I say to Mr. Coldwell, can't you also think for yourself instead of parroting some things said in New Liberty magazine, which Mr. Solon Low has categorically denied as utter fabrication. Ladies and gentlemen, look to the example of British Columbia and Alberta and send Social Crediters to Ottawa.

Besides the radio talks, it has been a magnificent experience going over the entire constituency, which is bigger than Switzerland, and going into every town and village several times. I have spoken to many of you personally, and many times I have spoken to you in your languages. To you Polish people I have said "Dzin Dobry" and to you Ukrainians in every town "Dobry Jden". Even to the Russians who wished to understand Social Credit I have said "Ponemayesz", and at Ebenezer a German immigrant was delighted when I came to him and said "grutzi". Or you few French people I saw you grin when I said to you "mes amis, c'ava". And in Canora I found a priest who had just come from "Roma" and his eyes sprang to interest when I asked "parlante Italiano". But now you

are all Canadians and I am for all of you, and Social Credit with its great respect for the sanctity of every individual, respects whatever different language you may have, whatever different religion you may have. Can't you see, Social Credit wants you to walk free again.

Recognize tangibles. Look again to the example of B.C. and Alberta. Recognize the dissatisfaction you have with the Liberals at Ottawa who keep your taxes high, spoil your international trade, destroy your wheat and cattle markets, spend money recklessly in armaments and even keep horses on the payroll, and have become arrogant and slipshod in government after so long in tenure. Recognize how dissatisfied you are with the CCF in Saskatchewan, how they promised to get rid of the education tax and never did, how they mistreated labour in the bus strike, how they mismanaged undemocratically the Rawluk case, how they have imposed many additional payments and taxes on you, and *compelled* you to pay them. Please vote according to your convictions, vote away dissatisfactions, vote Social Credit. On the ballot on Monday you will find my name second from the top—put your X there. And don't be amongst the usual 6,000 that don't vote. Perhaps most of that unconscientious 6,000 would like to vote for me... well, please get out and make that extra effort for me. As someone this week said, let's chase the money lenders out of the temple, the temple in this case being the House at Ottawa. Ladies and gentlemen, every mountain in the world has been assailed, our Robson in Canada, Mount Blanc at Chamonix, and Everest conquered, but the mountain of taxation in Canada has never been assailed. Let Social Credit try.

I'd like to thank CJGX for so faithfully recording me, and to thank all my supporters in this campaign. You are all invited to the Castle Hall on Monday to watch the election results pour in. Tonight we speak in Wynyard and tomorrow we wind up in the Legion Hall in Yorkton when Mr. Thauberger will address you. We wanted the auditorium but the Liberals have it twice during one week, while we didn't have it once. Is that fair? but maybe that's a type of Liberal fairness when you consider what you read in the Enterprise about the Gardiner meeting where Mr. O'Dwyer attacked me, with what he knew was erroneous.

This is my final broadcast—and now in utter humility I say, Pray For Me, and on August the tenth, VOTE FOR ME.

* * * * *

—Dedicated to Ebenezer and Grunert polls who gave
me a majority, and to the other over 2,000 people
who voted for me—

* * * * *

"It is necessary for man to stand up for his convictions,
therefore I would rather be right and be defeated
than be wrong and be victorious."

Stan Obodiae